

Accused Cases — Index

No.	Case Name	Date of Accusation	Date of Judgment
1	Agriculture and Forestry Liaison Council and 21 others (executives)	Apr 28, 1949	Feb 27, 1951 (Tokyo High Court)
2	Okawa (L.P.) and one other (executives)	May 21, 1949	May 12, 1952 (Tokyo High Court)
3	Yamaichi Securities Co., Ltd.	Nov 28, 1949	—
4	Sanaitochi Co., Ltd. and one individual (executive)	Apr 3, 1970	Jan 29, 1971 (Tokyo High Court)
5	Idemitsu Kosan Co., Ltd. and 26 others (companies and executives)	Feb 15, 1974	Sep 26, 1980 (Tokyo High Court); Feb 24, 1984 (Supreme Court)
6	Petroleum Federation and four members (four executives)	Feb 15, 1974	Sep 26, 1980 (Tokyo High Court)
7	Mitsui Toatsu Chemicals Co., Ltd. and 22 others (8 companies, 15 executives)	Nov 6, 1991 (add'l accusation Dec 19, 1991)	May 21, 1993 (Tokyo High Court)
8	Toppan Moore, Inc. and three others	Feb 24, 1993	Dec 14, 1993 (Tokyo High Court)
9	Hitachi, Ltd. and 26 others (9 companies; 17 individuals in order-receiving; 1 individual in ordering)	May 6, 1995 (add'l accusation Jun 7, 1995)	May 31, 1996 (Tokyo High Court)
10	Kimmon Manufacturing Co., Ltd. and 58 others (25 companies; 34 individuals)	Feb 4, 1997	Dec 24, 1997 (Tokyo High Court)
11	Kubota Corporation and 12 others (3 companies; 10 individuals)	Feb 4, 1999 (add'l accusation Mar 1, 1999)	Feb 23, 2000 (Tokyo High Court)
12	Cosmo Oil Co., Ltd. and 19 others (11 companies, 9 individuals)	Oct 13, 1999 (add'l accusation Nov 9, 1999)	Mar 24, 2004 (Tokyo High Court)

Tentative translation

13	Aichi Tokei Denki Co., Ltd. and 8 others (4 companies; 5 individuals)	Jul 2, 2003	Mar 26, 2004; Apr 30, 2004; May 21, 2004 (Tokyo High Court; various defendants)
14	Yokogawa Bridge Corporation and 33 others (26 companies; 8 individuals)	May 23, 2005 (add'l accusation Jul 15, 2005)	Nov 10, 2006; Sep 21, 2007 (Tokyo High Court; multiple defendants)
15	Yokogawa Bridge Corporation and 12 others (6 companies; 4 individuals; JHPC executives)	Jun 29, 2005 (add'l accusations Aug 1 and Aug 15, 2005)	Dec 7, 2007; Jul 4, 2008 (Tokyo High Court; JHPC executives)
16	Kubota Corporation and 21 others (11 companies; 11 individuals)	May 23, 2006 (add'l accusation Jun 12, 2006)	Mar–May 2007 (Osaka District Court; multiple dates)
17	Obayashi Corporation and 9 others (5 companies; 5 individuals)	Feb 28, 2007 (add'l accusation Mar 20, 2007)	Oct 15, 2007 (Nagoya District Court)
18	Japan Forest Engineering Consultants Foundation and 10 others	May 24, 2007 (add'l accusation Jun 13, 2007)	Nov 1, 2007 (Tokyo District Court)
19	Nippon Steel & Sumikin Coated Sheet Corporation and 8 others (3 companies; 6 individuals)	Nov 11, 2008 (add'l accusation Dec 8, 2008)	Sep 15, 2009 (Tokyo District Court)
20	NSK Ltd. and 9 others (3 companies; 7 individuals)	Jun 14, 2012	Dec 28, 2012; Feb 25, 2013; Feb 4, 2015 (Tokyo District Court; various defendants)
21	Takasago Thermal Engineering Co., Ltd. and 15 others (8 companies; 8 individuals)	Mar 4, 2014	Sep 30 – Nov 14, 2014 (Tokyo District Court; multiple dates)

Tentative translation

22	NIPPO Co., Ltd. and 20 others (10 companies; 11 individuals)	Feb 29, 2016	Sep 7 – Nov 1, 2016 (Tokyo District Court; multiple dates)
23	Taisei Corporation and 5 others (4 companies; 2 individuals)	Mar 23, 2018	Oct 22, 2018; Mar 1, 2021 (Tokyo District Court; some defendants later)
24	Alfresa Corporation and 9 others (3 companies; 7 individuals)	Dec 9, 2020	Jun 30, 2021 (Tokyo District Court)
25	Dentsu Group Inc. and 12 others (6 companies; 6 individuals; 1 former deputy director of the Tokyo Organizing Committee of the Olympic and Paralympic Games)	Feb 28, 2023	Jul 11, 2024; Dec 18, 2024; Jan 30, 2025; Mar 21, 2025; May 8, 2025; Jun 3, 2025; Jul 31, 2025; Oct 2, 2025; Dec 9 2025 (as per defendants/courts)

Details

1. Agriculture and Forestry Liaison Council and 21 others (executives)

Case Name	Agriculture and Forestry Liaison Council and 21 others (executives)
Date of Accusation	Apr 28, 1949
Date of Indictment	Jun 16, 1950 (the Council and two others indicted)
Date of Judgment	Feb 27, 1951 (Tokyo High Court)
Sentence	A fine of 10,000 yen imposed on each
Summary	While designated as a closed institution undergoing liquidation, the Council engaged in purchasing and sales activities in violation of the prohibitions.
Legal Provisions	Trade Association Law — Art. 5(1)(xiii), (xiv), (2); Art. 14(1)(i), (3)
Remarks	The Council's chair and a standing commissioner appealed on Mar 11, 1951. The former died and his appeal was dismissed on Mar 15, 1960; the latter's appeal was dismissed on Dec 5, 1961.

2. Okawa (L.P.) and one other (executives)

Case Name	Okawa (L.P.) and one other (executives)
Date of Accusation	May 21, 1949
Date of Indictment	Nov 25, 1950
Date of Judgment	May 12, 1952 (Tokyo High Court)
Sentence	Dismissed due to a general amnesty associated with the entry into force of the Treaty of Peace with Japan
Summary	The company failed to file the dissolution and liquidation plan and the stock splitting plan by the deadline.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Arts. 105, 107, 108, 109, 111, 112

3. Yamaichi Securities Co., Ltd.

Case Name	Yamaichi Securities Co., Ltd.
Date of Accusation	Nov 28, 1949
Date of Indictment	Non-prosecution (Dec 28, 1951)
Date of Judgment	—
Sentence	—
Summary	The company took over a business without the required permission.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Arts. 16, 91-2(6)

4. Sanaitochi Co., Ltd. and one individual (executive)

Case Name	Sanaitochi Co., Ltd. and one individual (executive)
Date of Accusation	Apr 3, 1970
Date of Indictment	May 26, 1970
Date of Judgment	Jan 29, 1971 (Tokyo High Court)
Sentence	Company: fine of 200,000 yen; individual: 1 year's imprisonment (suspended for 3 years) and a fine of 100,000 yen
Summary	They made misleading representations in violation of the prior decision.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Arts. 90(iii), 95(1); Premiums and Misleading Representations Act — Art. 4(1), (2)

5. Idemitsu Kosan Co., Ltd. and 26 others (companies and executives)

Case Name	Idemitsu Kosan Co., Ltd. and 26 others (companies and executives)
Date of Accusation	Feb 15, 1974
Date of Indictment	May 28, 1974
Date of Judgment	Sep 26, 1980 (Tokyo High Court); Feb 24, 1984 (Supreme Court)

Sentence	Companies: fines of 1.5–2.5 million yen; individuals: 4–10 months' imprisonment (suspended) (Tokyo High Court)
Summary	Idemitsu Kosan and 11 other oil distributors jointly decided and implemented price increases for petroleum products in Jan, Feb, Aug, Oct and Nov 1973, thereby substantially restraining competition.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1)
Remarks	Some verdicts were finalized; certain prosecutions were dismissed due to the defendants' deaths. The Supreme Court reversed and acquitted specific companies/individuals and dismissed other appeals on Feb 24, 1984.

6. Petroleum Federation and four members (four executives)

Case Name	Petroleum Federation and four members (four executives)
Date of Accusation	Feb 15, 1974
Date of Indictment	May 28, 1974 (Federation and two others indicted; prosecution not brought against the remaining two)
Date of Judgment	Sep 26, 1980 (Tokyo High Court)
Sentence	Acquittal due to lack of criminal intent
Summary	The Federation determined and implemented crude oil processing volumes for member companies for the second half of FY1972 and the first half of FY1973.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 8(1)(i); Art. 89(1)(ii); Art. 95(2)

7. Mitsui Toatsu Chemicals Co., Ltd. and 22 others (8 companies, 15 executives)

Case Name	Mitsui Toatsu Chemicals Co., Ltd. and 22 others (8 companies, 15 executives)
Date of Accusation	Nov 6, 1991 (additional accusation Dec 19, 1991)
Date of Indictment	Dec 20, 1991
Date of Judgment	May 21, 1993 (Tokyo High Court)

Sentence	Companies: fines of 6–8 million yen; individuals: 6–12 months' imprisonment (suspended for 2 years)
Summary	Eight companies jointly decided to raise the selling price of vinyl chloride stretch film for business use and implemented the increases from September and November 1990.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1)

8. Toppan Moore, Inc. and three others

Case Name	Toppan Moore, Inc. and three others
Date of Accusation	Feb 24, 1993
Date of Indictment	Mar 31, 1993
Date of Judgment	Dec 14, 1993 (Tokyo High Court)
Sentence	Companies: fines of 4 million yen
Summary	Four companies prearranged expected awardees and prices for sticker orders (for attaching payment notices, etc.) placed by the Social Insurance Agency and implemented the arrangement.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1)

9. Hitachi, Ltd. and 26 others (9 companies; 17 individuals in order-receiving; 1 individual in ordering)

Case Name	Hitachi, Ltd. and 26 others (9 companies; 17 individuals in order-receiving; 1 individual in ordering)
Date of Accusation	March 6, 1995 (additional accusation Jun 7, 1995)
Date of Indictment	Jun 15, 1995
Date of Judgment	May 31, 1996 (Tokyo High Court)
Sentence	Companies: fines of 40–60 million yen; order-receiving employees: 10 months' imprisonment (suspended for 2 years); one ordering-side employee: 8 months' imprisonment (suspended for 2 years)

Summary	Nine companies agreed to allocate the prospective contractor for electrical equipment work ordered by the Japan Sewage Works Agency (FY1993) and submitted bids at prearranged prices to ensure that the designated firm secured the contract, thereby implementing the agreement.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1); Criminal Code — Art. 62(1)

10. Kimmon Manufacturing Co., Ltd. and 58 others (25 companies; 34 individuals)

Case Name	Kimmon Manufacturing Co., Ltd. and 58 others (25 companies; 34 individuals)
Date of Accusation	Feb 4, 1997
Date of Indictment	Mar 31, 1997
Date of Judgment	Dec 24, 1997 (Tokyo High Court)
Sentence	Companies: fines of 5–9 million yen; individuals: 6–9 months' imprisonment (suspended for 2 years)
Summary	Twenty-five companies agreed to rig bids for water meters ordered by the Tokyo Metropolitan Government (FY1994–1996) by designating a prospective winner and submitting bids at prearranged prices and implemented the agreement.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1); Criminal Code — Art. 60
Remarks	Appeals filed in Jan 1998 were dismissed on Sep 25, 2000.

11. Kubota Corporation and 12 others (3 companies; 10 individuals)

Case Name	Kubota Corporation and 12 others (3 companies; 10 individuals)
Date of Accusation	Feb 4, 1999 (additional accusation Mar 1, 1999)
Date of Indictment	Mar 1, 1999
Date of Judgment	Feb 23, 2000 (Tokyo High Court)

Sentence	Companies: fines of 30–130 million yen; individuals: 6–10 months' imprisonment (suspended for 2 years)
Summary	Three companies agreed to allocate the market shares for straight ductile iron pipes in the Japanese market in FY1996 and FY1997, and they implemented the agreement.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1); Criminal Code — Art. 60

12. Cosmo Oil Co., Ltd. and 19 others (11 companies, 9 individuals)

Case Name	Cosmo Oil Co., Ltd. and 19 others (11 companies, 9 individuals)
Date of Accusation	Oct 13, 1999 (additional accusation Nov 9, 1999)
Date of Indictment	Nov 9, 1999
Date of Judgment	Mar 24, 2004 (Tokyo High Court)
Sentence	Companies: fines of 3–80 million yen; individuals: 6–18 months' imprisonment (suspended 2–3 years)
Summary	Eleven companies prearranged awardees and bid prices for designated competitive tenders to procure petroleum products by the Defense Agency's Procurement Implementation HQ (FY1998).
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1); Criminal Code — Art. 60
Remarks	Several appeals filed Mar–Apr 2004; dismissals issued Nov 21, 2005 (final on Nov 26, 29 and Dec 20, 2005).

13. Aichi Tokei Denki Co., Ltd. and 8 others (4 companies; 5 individuals)

Case Name	Aichi Tokei Denki Co., Ltd. and 8 others (4 companies; 5 individuals)
Date of Accusation	Jul 2, 2003
Date of Indictment	Jul 23, 2003
Date of Judgment	Mar 26, 2004; Apr 30, 2004; May 21, 2004 (Tokyo High Court; various defendants)

Sentence	Companies: fines of 20–30 million yen; individuals: 1 year to 1 year 2 months' imprisonment (suspended 3 years)
Summary	Four companies and five employees, with 14 other manufacturers, prearranged awardees and prices for 13-, 20- and 25-mm water meters ordered by the Tokyo Metropolitan Government.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1) (before amendment by Act No. 47 of 2002)

14. Yokogawa Bridge Corporation and 33 others (26 companies; 8 individuals)

Case Name	Yokogawa Bridge Corporation and 33 others (26 companies; 8 individuals)
Date of Accusation	May 23, 2005 (additional accusation Jul 15, 2005)
Date of Indictment	Jun 15, 2005
Date of Judgment	Nov 10, 2006; Sep 21, 2007 (Tokyo High Court; multiple defendants)
Sentence	Companies: fines of 160–640 million yen; individuals: 1–2.5 years' imprisonment (suspended 3–4 years)
Summary	Twenty-six companies (together with other construction operators) prearranged awardees for steel bridge superstructure works ordered through competitive bidding by the Kanto, Tohoku and Hokuriku Bureaus of the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport (FY2003–2004), and submitted bids at prices to ensure that the designated firms secured the contracts.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1) (i); Criminal Code — Arts. 60, 62(1)

15. Yokogawa Bridge Corporation and 12 others (6 companies; 4 individuals; JHPC executives)

Case Name	Yokogawa Bridge Corporation and 12 others (6 companies; 4 individuals; Japan Highway Public Corporation (JHPC) executives)
-----------	--

Date of Accusation	Jun 29, 2005 (additional accusations Aug 1 and Aug 15, 2005)
Date of Indictment	Aug 1, 2005; Aug 15, 2005; Aug 19, 2005 (per defendant)
Date of Judgment	Dec 7, 2007; Jul 4, 2008 (Tokyo High Court; JHPC executives)
Sentence	JHPC Executive Director: 2 years (suspended 3 years); JHPC Vice-President: 2 years 6 months (suspended 4 years). Sentences consolidated.
Summary	Six companies (together with other construction operators) prearranged awardees for JHPC steel bridge superstructure works (FY2003–2004) and submitted bids at prices to ensure that the designated firms secured the contracts.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1) (i); Criminal Code — Arts. 60, 65(1)
Remarks	Two of the JHPC executives were also found guilty of breach of trust. Appeals by the JHPC executives were dismissed in 2010.

16. Kubota Corporation and 21 others (11 companies; 11 individuals)

Case Name	Kubota Corporation and 21 others (11 companies; 11 individuals)
Date of Accusation	May 23, 2006 (additional accusation Jun 12, 2006)
Date of Indictment	Jun 12, 2006
Date of Judgment	Mar–May 2007 (Osaka District Court; multiple dates)
Sentence	Companies: fines of 70–220 million yen; individuals: fines of 1.4–1.7 million yen or imprisonment of 1 year 4 months to 2 years 6 months (suspended 3–4 years)
Summary	Eleven companies prearranged awardees for municipal human-waste treatment facility construction (new and renewal) and submitted bids at prices to ensure that the designated firms secured the contracts.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1) (i); Criminal Code — Art. 60
Remarks	One order-receiving individual also convicted of bribery.

17. Obayashi Corporation and 9 others (5 companies; 5 individuals)

Case Name	Obayashi Corporation and 9 others (5 companies; 5 individuals)
Date of Accusation	Feb 28, 2007 (additional accusation Mar 20, 2007)
Date of Indictment	Mar 20, 2007
Date of Judgment	Oct 15, 2007 (Nagoya District Court)
Sentence	Companies: fines of 100–200 million yen; individuals: 1 year 6 months to 3 years (suspended 3–5 years)
Summary	Five companies, under a special JV framework, prearranged the winning contractor and submitted bids to ensure that the designated firm secured the civil work contracts for the extension of Nagoya City Subway Line 6.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1) (i); Criminal Code — Art. 60
Remarks	One order-receiving individual also convicted of criminal bid-rigging.

18. Japan Forest Engineering Consultants Foundation and 10 others

Case Name	Japan Forest Engineering Consultants Foundation and 10 others
Date of Accusation	May 24, 2007 (additional accusation Jun 13, 2007)
Date of Indictment	Jun 13, 2007
Date of Judgment	Nov 1, 2007 (Tokyo District Court)
Sentence	Companies: fines of 40–90 million yen; individuals: 6–8 months (suspended 2–3 years); former executive and director of the Japan Green Resources Agency (JGRA): 1 year 6 months to 2 years (suspended 3–4 years)
Summary	For the Green Resources Trunk Road Project (FY2005–2006) ordered by the JGRA, the parties prearranged awardees for geological survey and survey/design work and submitted bids to ensure that the designated firms secured the contracts in accordance with the intention of the Agency.

Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1) (i); Criminal Code — Arts. 60, 65(1)
------------------	---

19. Nippon Steel & Sumikin Coated Sheet Corporation and 8 others (3 companies; 6 individuals)

Case Name	Nippon Steel & Sumikin Coated Sheet Corporation and 8 others (3 companies; 6 individuals)
Date of Accusation	Nov 11, 2008 (additional accusation Dec 8, 2008)
Date of Indictment	Dec 8, 2008
Date of Judgment	Sep 15, 2009 (Tokyo District Court)
Sentence	Companies: fines of 160–180 million yen; individuals: 10–12 months (suspended 3 years)
Summary	Three companies agreed to raise prices for hot-dip 55% aluminum-zinc alloy coated steel sheet and strip from Jul 1, 2006 shipments.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1) (i); Criminal Code — Arts. 60, 65(1)

20. NSK Ltd. and 9 others (3 companies; 7 individuals)

Case Name	NSK Ltd. and 9 others (3 companies; 7 individuals)
Date of Accusation	Jun 14, 2012
Date of Indictment	Jun 14, 2012
Date of Judgment	Dec 28, 2012; Feb 25, 2013; Feb 4, 2015 (Tokyo District Court; various defendants)
Sentence	Companies: fines of 180–400 million yen; individuals: 1–1.5 years (suspended 3 years)
Summary	Three companies agreed to raise prices for industrial-machinery bearings (deliveries on or after Jul 1, 2010) with the goal of passing through increases in raw steel material costs, by requesting price increases of 8% for general bearings and 10% for large bearings.

	Two companies agreed to raise prices for automotive bearings by ~20 yen/kg of steel input weight.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1) (i); Criminal Code — Art. 60
Remarks	Appeals dismissed Mar 22, 2016; Supreme Court appeals dismissed Dec 5, 2017; final Dec 12, 2019.

21. Takasago Thermal Engineering Co., Ltd. and 15 others (8 companies; 8 individuals)

Case Name	Takasago Thermal Engineering Co., Ltd. and 15 others (8 companies; 8 individuals)
Date of Accusation	Mar 4, 2014
Date of Indictment	Mar 4, 2014
Date of Judgment	Sep 30 – Nov 14, 2014 (Tokyo District Court; multiple dates)
Sentence	Companies: fines of 120–160 million yen; individuals: 1 year 2 months to 1 year 6 months (suspended 3 years)
Summary	From Oct 2011, eight companies prearranged the awardee for machinery/equipment works for Hokuriku Shinkansen snow-melting facilities (Japan Railway Construction, Transport and Technology Agency; conditional general competitive bidding) and submitted bids at prices to ensure that the designated firms secured the contracts.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1)(i); Criminal Code — Art. 60

22. NIPPO Co., Ltd. and 20 others (10 companies; 11 individuals)

Case Name	NIPPO Co., Ltd. and 20 others (10 companies; 11 individuals)
Date of Accusation	Feb 29, 2016
Date of Indictment	Feb 29, 2016
Date of Judgment	Sep 7 – Nov 1, 2016 (Tokyo District Court; multiple dates)

Sentence	Companies: fines of 120–180 million yen; individuals: 1 year 2 months to 1 year 6 months (suspended 3 years)
Summary	From Jul 2011, ten companies prearranged awardees for pavement disaster-recovery work related to the Great East Japan Earthquake (Tohoku Branch, East Nippon Expressway Co.; conditional general competitive bidding) and bid at prices to ensure awards.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1) (i); Criminal Code — Art. 60

23. Taisei Corporation and 5 others (4 companies; 2 individuals)

Case Name	Taisei Corporation and 5 others (4 companies; 2 individuals)
Date of Accusation	Mar 23, 2018
Date of Indictment	Mar 23, 2018
Date of Judgment	Oct 22, 2018; Mar 1, 2021 (Tokyo District Court)
Sentence	Companies: fines of 180–250 million yen; individuals: 1 year 6 months (suspended 3 years)
Summary	From late Apr 2014 to late Aug 2015, four companies prearranged the awardee and estimates for the construction works on the underground terminal station (open-cut method) on the Chuo Shinkansen (Shinagawa–Nagoya), which JR Central ordered sequentially under a competitive estimate method.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1) (i); Criminal Code — Art. 60
Remarks	Appeals on Mar 10, 2021 were dismissed on Mar 2, 2023; subsequent appeals filed on Mar 10 and 14, 2023.

24. Alfresa Corporation and 9 others (3 companies; 7 individuals)

Case Name	Alfresa Corporation and 9 others (3 companies; 7 individuals)
Date of Accusation	Dec 9, 2020
Date of Indictment	Dec 9, 2020

Date of Judgment	Jun 30, 2021 (Tokyo District Court)
Sentence	Companies: fine of 250 million yen; individuals: 1 year 6 months to 2 years (suspended 3 years)
Summary	In 2016 and 2018, three companies set target acceptance ratios and prearranged awardees/prices for drug purchase contracts at 57 hospitals run by the Japan Organization for Healthcare Advancement, ensuring that the designated firms secured the contracts.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1) (i); Criminal Code — Art. 60

25. Dentsu Group Inc. and 12 others (6 companies; 6 individuals; 1 former deputy director of the Tokyo Organizing Committee of the Olympic and Paralympic Games)

Case Name	Dentsu Group Inc. and 12 others (6 companies; 6 individuals; 1 former deputy director of the Tokyo Organizing Committee of the Olympic and Paralympic Games (TOCOG))
Date of Accusation	Feb 28, 2023
Date of Indictment	Feb 28, 2023
Date of Judgment	Dec 12, 2023; Jul 11, 2024; Dec 18, 2024; Jan 30, 2025; Mar 21, 2025 (Tokyo District Court)
Sentence	Companies: fines of 200–300 million yen; individuals: 1 year 6 months to 2 years (suspended 3–4 years)
Summary	From around February to July 2018, six companies agreed that, in principle, only the designated firms would submit bids, and they prearranged the awardees for outsourcing contracts related to test-event planning, implementation, and Games operations for Tokyo 2020, which TOCOG subsequently awarded sequentially.
Legal Provisions	Antimonopoly Act — Art. 3; Art. 89(1)(i); Art. 95(1) (i); Criminal Code — Art. 60; Art. 65(1)

Tentative translation

Remarks	Appeals filed on Jul 24, 2024; Dec 19, 2024; Jan 30, 2025; Mar 24, 2025.
---------	--